

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,003	PULLMAN, DOUGLAS G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O. Savage	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Gregory Sebald.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

11, 19, and 20.

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent 5,853,583 to Shah

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to change "fluid body waste material" to --liquid manure-- in claims 1, 8, 10, and 18 to patently distinguish over Shah. Agreed to cancel claim 11 was considered redundant of the step "discharging a first stream from said cyclone separator, containing larger particles on to a second vibrating screen" recited in claim 1. Agreed to cancel non-elected apparatus claims 19 and 20. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.